

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,221	02/13/2002	Edward Ludwig Blendermann	7095	
75	590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Edward L. Blendermann 69 regency Drive			LACYK, JOHN P	
Port Orange, FL 32129			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Le			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/075,221	BLENDERMANN, EDWARD LUDWIG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P Lacyk	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	June 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received				
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·				
application from the International Bure		·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved.			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai	ry (PTO-413)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/075,221

Art Unit: 3736

1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

The specification is directed to a nutritional supplement that emits electromagnetic waves that stimulate the body. The specification (page 10) lists several herbs, vitamins, etc. that are used as the supplements. However in view of the contemporary knowledge in the art it is not well known that herbs, vitamins, etc emit any type of electromagnetic field and applicant has provided no evidentiary basis to support his theory.

Claims 1-19 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 18, the clean copy of the amendment differs from the marked up copy, making it unclear which version is the correct version. The clean copy recites a method of improving muscle strength and endurance while conducting physical activities, while the marked up copy also recites by wearing the nutrient holding device

Application/Control Number: 10/075,221

Art Unit: 3736

during such activities. For the purposes of this action it appears that the intent was to include the nutrient holding device and not to merely conducting physical activities.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "it is well known according to contemporary knowledge in the arts that electromagnetic waves are emitted from all matter with a temperature of absolute zero", however applicant provides no evidence to support this well known contemporary knowledge nor any evidence to show that that any of the particular nutritional supplements emit any type of electromagnetic field.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 703-308-2995.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736

J.P. Lacyk